arXiv:1411.5011v1 [math.AG] 18 Nov 2014

Degree of $\mathbb{K}$-uniruledness of the non-properness set of a polynomial map

Zbigniew Jelonek and Michał Lasoń

Abstract. Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an affine variety covered by polynomial curves, and let $f : X \to \mathbb{C}^m$ be a generically finite polynomial map. In [**8**] the first author showed that the set S_f, of points at which f is not proper, is covered by polynomial curves.

We generalize this result to the field of real numbers. We prove that if $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a closed semialgebraic set covered by polynomial curves, and $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a generically finite polynomial map, then the set S_f is also covered by polynomial curves. Moreover, if X is covered by curves of degree at most d_1, and the map f has degree d_2, then the set S_f is covered by polynomial curves of degree at most $2d_1d_2$. For the field of complex numbers we get a better bound by d_1d_2, which is best possible.

Additionally, if $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ or $X = \mathbb{R}^n$, and a generically finite polynomial map f has degree d, then the set S_f is covered by polynomial curves of degree at most $d-1$. This bound is best possible.

1. Introduction

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a generically finite polynomial map between affine varieties.

Definition 1.1. *We say that f is* finite (proper) *at a point $y \in Y$, if there exists an open neighborhood U of y such that $f|_{f^{-1}(U)} : f^{-1}(U) \to U$ is a finite map. The set of points at which f is not finite (proper) we denote by S_f.*

This set was first introduced by the first author in [**1**] (see also [**2, 3, 10**]). The set S_f is a good measure of non-properness of the map f, moreover it has interesting applications in pure and applied mathematics [**5, 6, 9, 11**]. The first author proved the following property of the set S_f, when the base field is $\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 4.1 [**8**]). *Let X be an affine variety over $\mathbb{C}$, and let $f : X \to \mathbb{C}^m$ be a generically finite polynomial map. Then*

1. *the set S_f is a hypersurface in $\overline{f(X)}$ or it is empty,*
2. *if X is $\mathbb{C}$-uniruled (covered by polynomial curves), then the set S_f is also $\mathbb{C}$-uniruled.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14R25, 14P10, 14R99.

Key words and phrases. affine variety, semialgebraic set, $\mathbb{K}$-uniruled set, the set of non-proper points, parametric curve, degree of a curve.

Z. Jelonek is supported by Polish National Science Centre grant no. 2013/09/B/ST1/04162. M. Lasoń is supported by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education Iuventus Plus grant no. 0382/IP3/2013/72.

One of the most important results of this paper is a generalization of Theorem 1.2 to the field of real numbers. Suppose X is a closed semialgebraic set over $\mathbb{R}$, and $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a generically finite polynomial map. Then the set S_f does not have to be a hypersurface, thus part (1) of Theorem 1.2 is false over $\mathbb{R}$. We prove that part (2) is true. That is:

Let X be a closed semialgebraic set over $\mathbb{R}$, and let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a generically finite polynomial map. If X is $\mathbb{R}$-uniruled, then the set S_f is also $\mathbb{R}$-uniruled. (Theorem 4.9)

In another bunch of results we give upper bounds on degree of $\mathbb{K}$-uniruledness (that is, degree of polynomial curves covering the set, see Section 2 Preliminaries for relevant definitions), for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, of the set S_f in terms of degree of the map f:

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^m$ be a generically finite polynomial map of degree d. Then the set S_f has degree of $\mathbb{C}$-uniruledness at most $d - 1$. (Theorem 3.2)

Let X be an affine variety with degree of $\mathbb{C}$-uniruledness at most d_1. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{C}^m$ be a generically finite polynomial map of degree d_2. Then the set S_f has degree of $\mathbb{C}$-uniruledness at most $d_1 d_2$. (Theorem 3.5)

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a generically finite polynomial map of degree d. Then the set S_f has degree of $\mathbb{R}$-uniruledness at most $d - 1$. (Theorem 4.4)

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed semialgebraic set with degree of $\mathbb{R}$-uniruledness at most d_1, and let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a generically finite polynomial map of degree d_2. Then the set S_f has degree of $\mathbb{R}$-uniruledness at most $2d_1 d_2$. (Theorem 4.9)

All above bounds, except the last one, are best possible. Since they are true for the field $\mathbb{C}$, by the Lefschetz Principle they are also true for an arbitrary algebraically closed field $\mathbb{K}$ with characteristic zero.

In the last Section, as an application of our methods, we give a real counterpart of Theorem 3.1 from [**7**].

Let G be a real, non-trivial, connected, unipotent group, which acts effectively and polynomially on a closed semialgebraic $\mathbb{R}$-uniruled set X. Then the set $Fix(G)$, of fixed points of G, is also $\mathbb{R}$-uniruled. In particular it does not contain isolated points. (Theorem 5.1)

2. Preliminaries

Unless stated otherwise $\mathbb{K}$ is an arbitrary algebraically closed field (the real field case is explained in Section 4). All affine varieties are considered to be embedded in an affine space.

The study of uniruled varieties in projective geometry, that is varieties possessing a coving by rational curves, has a long history. In affine geometry it is more natural to consider parametric curves (see a definition below), than rational ones. Therefore in [**2**] (see also [**10**]) the first author defined $\mathbb{K}$-uniruled varieties as those which are covered by parametric curves. In [**7**] we refined this definition for countable fields. In this paper we introduce and study a corresponding quantitative parameter – degree of $\mathbb{K}$-uniruledness.

DEFINITION 2.1. *An irreducible affine curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{K}^m$ is called a* parametric curve of degree at most d*, if there exists a non-constant polynomial map $f : \mathbb{K} \to \Gamma$ of degree at most d (by degree of $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$ we mean $\max_i \deg f_i$). A curve is called* parametric *if it is parametric of some degree.*

We have the following equivalences, see also similar Proposition 2.4 from [**7**].

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{K}^m$ be an irreducible affine variety of dimension n, and let d be a constant. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *for every point $x \in X$ there exists a parametric curve $l_x \subset X$ of degree at most d passing through x,*
2. *there exists an open, non-empty subset $U \subset X$, such that for every point $x \in U$ there exists a parametric curve $l_x \subset X$ of degree at most d passing through x,*
3. *there exists an affine variety W of dimension $\dim X - 1$, and a dominant polynomial map $\phi : \mathbb{K} \times W \ni (t, w) \to \phi(t, w) \in X$ such that $\deg_t \phi \leq d$.*

PROOF. Implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is obvious. To prove (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) suppose that $X = \{x \in \mathbb{K}^m : f_1(x) = 0, \ldots, f_r(x) = 0\}$. For a point $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \mathbb{K}^m$ and $b = (b_{1,1} : \cdots : b_{d,m}) \in \mathbb{P}^M$, where $M = dm - 1$, let

$$\varphi_{a,b} : \mathbb{K} \ni t \to (a_1 + b_{1,1}t + \cdots + b_{1,d}^d t^d, \ldots, a_m + b_{m,1}t + \cdots + b_{m,d}^d t^d) \in \mathbb{K}^m$$

be a parametric curve. Consider a variety and a projection

$$\mathbb{K}^m \times \mathbb{P}^M \supset V = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{K}^m \times \mathbb{P}^M : \forall_{t,i}\ f_i(\varphi_{a,b}(t)) = 0\} \ni (a, b) \to a \in \mathbb{K}^m.$$

From the definition $(a, b) \in V$ if and only if the parametric curve $\varphi_{a,b}$ is contained in X. Hence the image of the projection is contained in X and contains U, since through every point of U passes a parametric curve of degree at most d. But since the projective space $\mathbb{P}^M$ is complete and V is closed we get that the image is closed, and hence it is the whole set X.

Let us prove (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). For some affine chart $V_j = V \cap \{b_j = 1\}$ the above map is dominant. We consider the following dominant map

$$\Phi : \mathbb{K} \times V_j \ni (t, \phi) \to \phi(t) \in X.$$

After replacing V_j by one of its irreducible components $Y \subset \mathbb{K}^M$ ($\dim(Y) = s$) the map remains dominant. On an open subset of X fibers of the map $\Phi' = \Phi|_{\mathbb{K} \times Y}$ are of dimension $s + 1 - n$, let x be one of such points. From the construction of the set V we know that the fiber $F = \Phi'^{-1}(x)$ does not contain any line of type $\mathbb{K} \times \{y\}$, so in particular the image F' of the fiber F under projection $\mathbb{K} \times Y \to Y$ has the same dimension. For general linear subspace $L \subset \mathbb{K}^M$ of dimension $M + n - s - 1$ the set $L \cap F'$ is 0-dimensional. Let us fix such L, and let R be any irreducible component of $L \cap Y$ intersecting F'. Now map $\Phi'|_{\mathbb{K} \times R} : \mathbb{K} \times R \to X$ satisfies the assertion, since it has one fiber of dimension 0 (at x) and the dimension of R is $n - 1$.

To prove the implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) it is enough to notice that for every $w \in W$ the map $\phi_w : \mathbb{K} \ni t \to \phi(t, w) \in X$ is a parametric curve of degree at most d or it is constant. Image of ϕ contains an open dense subset, so after excluding points with infinite preimages (closed set of codimension at most one) we get an open set U with requested properties. $\square$

DEFINITION 2.3. *We say that an affine variety X has* degree of $\mathbb{K}$-uniruledness at most d *if all its irreducible components satisfy the conditions of Proposition 2.2. An affine variety is called* $\mathbb{K}$-uniruled *if it has some degree of* $\mathbb{K}$*-uniruledness.*

To simplify the notion we say that the empty set has degree of $\mathbb{K}$-uniruledness equal to zero, in particular it is $\mathbb{K}$-uniruled.

EXAMPLE 2.4. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ be a hypersurface of degree $d < n$. It is well-known that X is covered by affine lines, therefore its degree of* $\mathbb{K}$*-uniruledness is one.*

For uncountable fields there is also another characterization of $\mathbb{K}$-uniruled varieties, see Theorem 3.1 [**10**].

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an uncountable field, and let $X \subset \mathbb{K}^m$ be an affine variety. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *X is $\mathbb{K}$-uniruled,*
(2) *for every point $x \in X$ there exists a parametric curve $l_x \subset X$ passing through x,*
(3) *there exists an open, non-empty subset $U \subset X$, such that for every point $x \in U$ there exists a parametric curve $l_x \subset X$ passing through x.*

3. The complex field case

In the whole Section we assume that the base field is $\mathbb{C}$. The condition that a map is not finite at a point y is equivalent to the fact that it is locally not proper in classical topology sense (there is no neighborhood U of y such that $f^{-1}(\overline{U})$ is compact). This characterization gives the following:

PROPOSITION 3.1 ([**1**]). *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a generically finite map between affine varieties. Then $y \in S_f$ if and only if there exists a sequence (x_n), such that $|x_n| \to \infty$ and $f(x_n) \to y$.*

In particular, for a polynomial map $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$, $y \in S_f$ if and only if either $\dim f^{-1}(y) > 0$ or $f^{-1}(y) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ is a finite set, but $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mu_{x_i}(f) < \mu(f)$, where μ denotes the multiplicity. In other words f is not proper at y if f is not a local analytic covering over y.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^m$ be a generically finite polynomial map of degree d. Then the set S_f has degree of* $\mathbb{C}$*-uniruledness at most $d - 1$.*

PROOF. Let $y \in S_f$, by affine transformation we can assume that $y = O = (0, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{C}^m$. From the same reason we can assume that $O \notin f^{-1}(S_f)$. Due to Proposition 3.1 there exists a sequence of points $x_k \to \infty$ such that $f(x_k) \to O$. Let us consider the line $L_k(t) = tO + (1-t)x_k = (1-t)x_k$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Put $l_k(t) = f(L_k(t))$. We can assume that $\deg l_k > 0$, because infinite fibers cover only nowhere dense subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Each curve l_k is given by m polynomials of one variable:

$$l_k(t) = (\sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i^1(k)t^i, \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i^m(k)t^i).$$

Hence we can associate l_k with one point

$$(a_0^1(k), \ldots, a_d^1(k); a_0^2(k), \ldots, a_d^2(k); \ldots; a_0^m(k), \ldots, a_d^m(k)) \in \mathbb{C}^N.$$

Since for each i when $k \to \infty$, then $a_0^i(k) \to 0$, we can change the parametrization of l_k by putting $t \to \lambda_k t$, in such a way that $\|l_k\| = 1$, for $k \gg 0$ (we consider here

l_k as an element of $\mathbb{C}^N$ with Euclidean norm). Now, since unit sphere is compact, it is easy to see that there exists a subsequence (l_{k_r}) of (l_k), which is convergent to a polynomial map $l : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^m$, with $l(0) = O$. Moreover, l is non-constant, because $\|l\| = 1$, and $l(0) = O$. We can also assume that the limit $lim_{k\to\infty}\lambda_k = \lambda$ exists in the compactification of the field $\mathbb{C}$. We consider two cases:

(1) λ is finite, then $L_k(\lambda_k t) = (1 - \lambda_k t)x_k \to \infty$ for $t \neq \lambda^{-1}$.
(2) $\lambda = \infty$, then $\|L_k(\lambda_k t)\| \geq (|\lambda_k t| - 1)\|x_k\|$, and hence $\|L_k(\lambda_k t)\| \to \infty$ for every $t \neq 0$.

On the other hand $f(L_k(\lambda_k t)) = l_k(\lambda_k t) \to l(t)$, using once more Proposition 3.1 this means that the curve l is contained in the set S_f, and as a consequence that S_f has degree of $\mathbb{C}$-uniruledness at most d.

Now we show that $\deg l < d$. It is enough to prove that $\deg l < \deg l_k$ for some k. Suppose contrary, what is $\deg l = \deg l_k$ for all k. Let $l(t) = (l_1(t), \ldots, l_m(t))$ and $l_k(t) = (l_1^k(t), \ldots, l_m^k(t))$. We can assume that a component $l_1(t)$ has a maximal degree. Denote $f(O) = a = (a_1, \ldots, a_m)$. All roots of a polynomial $l_1(t) - a_1$ are contained in the interior of some disc $D = \{t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| < R\}$. Let $\epsilon = \inf\{|l_1(t) - a_1|; t \in \partial D\}$. For $k \gg 0$ we have $|(l_1 - a_1) - (l_1^k - a_1)|_D < \epsilon$. Consequently by the Rouché's Theorem these polynomials have the same number of zeros (counted with multiplicities) in D. In particular zeros of $l_1^k - a_1$ are bounded. All curves L_k pass through O, so all l_k pass through $a = f(O)$. These means that there is a sequence t_k such that $l_k(t_k) = a$. We have just showed that $|t_k| < R$, since t_k is a root of the polynomial $l_1^k - a_1$. So we can assume that the sequence t_k converges to some t_0. When we pass to the limit we get $l(t_0) = a$, which is a contradiction, since $a = f(O) \notin S_f$. □

In a similar way we can prove the following generalization of Theorem 3.2.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $X = \mathbb{C} \times W \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ be an affine cylinder and let $f : \mathbb{C} \times W \ni (t, w) \to (f_1(t, w), \ldots, f_m(t, w)) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ be a generically finite polynomial map. Assume that for every i we have $\deg_t f_i \leq d$. Then the set S_f has degree of $\mathbb{C}$-uniruledness at most d.*

PROOF. Let $y \in S_f$, by affine transformation we can assume that $y = O = (0, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{C}^m$. Due to Proposition 3.1 there exists a sequence of points $(a_k, w_k) \in \mathbb{C} \times W$, such that $|(a_k, w_k)| \to \infty$ and $f(a_k, w_k) \to y$. Let us consider the line $L_k(t) = ((1-t)a_k, w_k)$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Put $l_k(t) = f(L_k(t))$. We can assume that $\deg l_k > 0$, because infinite fibers cover only nowhere dense subset of X. Each curve l_k is given by m polynomials of one variable:

$$l_k(t) = (\sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i^1(k)t^i, \ldots, \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i^m(k)t^i).$$

As before we can associate l_k with one point

$$(a_0^1(k), \ldots, a_d^1(k); a_0^2(k), \ldots, a_d^2(k); \ldots; a_0^m(k), \ldots, a_d^m(k)) \in \mathbb{C}^N.$$

Since for each i when $k \to \infty$, then $a_0^i(k) \to 0$, so we can change the parametrization of l_k by putting $t \to \lambda_k t$, in such a way that $\|l_k\| = 1$, for $k \gg 0$ (we consider here l_k as an element of $\mathbb{C}^N$ with Euclidean norm). Now, since unit sphere is compact, there exists a subsequence (l_{k_r}) of (l_k), which is convergent to a polynomial map $l : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^m$, with $l(0) = O$. Moreover, l is non-constant, because $\|l\| = 1$ and $l(0) =$

O. We can also assume that the limit $lim_{k\to\infty}\lambda_k = \lambda$ exists in the compactification of the field $\mathbb{C}$. We consider two cases:

(1) λ is finite, then $L_k(\lambda_k t) = ((1-\lambda_k t)a_k, w_k) \to \infty$ for $t \neq \lambda^{-1}$.
(2) $\lambda = \infty$, then $\|L_k(\lambda_k t)\| \geq \max((|\lambda_k t|-1)|a_k|, \|w_k\|)$, and $\|L_k(\lambda_k t)\| \to \infty$ for every $t \neq 0$.

On the other hand $f(L_k(\lambda_k t)) = l_k(\lambda_k t) \to l(t)$, using once more Proposition 3.1 this means that the curve l is contained in the set S_f, and as a consequence that S_f has degree of $\mathbb{C}$-uniruledness at most d. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let $f = (f_1, ..., f_m) : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^m$ be a generically finite map with $d = \min_j \max_i \deg_{x_j} f_i$. Then the set S_f has degree of $\mathbb{C}$-uniruledness at most d.*

Let us recall (see [**8**]) that for a generically finite polynomial map $f : X \to Y$ with X being $\mathbb{C}$-uniruled the set S_f is also $\mathbb{C}$-uniruled. We have the following "numerical" counterpart of this result:

Theorem 3.5. *Let X be an affine variety with degree of $\mathbb{C}$-uniruledness at most d_1, and let $f : X \to \mathbb{C}^m$ be a generically finite map of degree d_2. Then the set S_f has degree of $\mathbb{C}$-uniruledness at most $d_1 d_2$.*

Proof. By Definition 2.3 there exists an affine variety W with $\dim W = \dim X - 1$ and a dominant polynomial map $\phi : \mathbb{C} \times W \to X$ of degree in the first coordinate at most d_1. Equality $\dim \mathbb{C} \times W = \dim X$ implies that ϕ is generically finite, hence also $f \circ \phi : \mathbb{C} \times W \to \mathbb{C}^m$, which is of degree in the first coordinate at most $d_1 d_2$. So due to Theorem 3.3 $S_{f\circ\phi}$ has degree of $\mathbb{C}$-uniruledness at most $d_1 d_2$. We have an inclusion $S_f \subset S_{f\circ\phi}$, and from Theorem 1.2 we know that if not empty, then both sets are of pure dimension $\dim X - 1$, so components of S_f are components of $S_{f\circ\phi}$. This implies the assertion. □

Example 3.6. *Let $f : \mathbb{C}^n \ni (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \to (x_1, x_1x_2, \ldots, x_1x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We have $\deg f = 2$ and $S_f = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : x_1 = 0\}$. The set S_f has degree of $\mathbb{C}$-uniruledness equal to 1. It shows that in general Theorems 3.2, 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 can not be improved.*

Example 3.7. *Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \ni (x, y) \to (x + (xy)^d, xy) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. We have $\deg f = 2d$ and $S_f = \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : s = t^d\}$. The set S_f has degree of $\mathbb{C}$-uniruledness equal to d. It shows that in general Corollary 3.4 can not be improved.*

Example 3.8. *For $n > 2$ let $X = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : x_1x_2 = 1\}$, and $f : X \ni (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \to (x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. The variety X has degree of $\mathbb{C}$-uniruledness equal to 1, moreover we have $\deg f = 1$ and $S_f = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} : x_1 = 0\}$. So the set S_f has degree of $\mathbb{C}$-uniruledness 1. It shows that in general Theorems 3.3, 3.5 can not be improved.*

Remark 3.9. *By the Lefschetz Principle all results from this Section remain true for an arbitrary algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.*

4. The real field case

In the whole Section we assume that the base field is $\mathbb{R}$. Let us recall that by a *real parametric curve of degree at most d* in a semialgebraic set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we mean the image of a non-constant real polynomial map $f : \mathbb{R} \to X$ of degree at

most d. In general a real parametric curve does not have to be algebraic, but only a semialgebraic curve. The real counterpart of Proposition 2.2 is the following.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed semialgebraic set, and let d be a constant. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *for every point $x \in X$ there exists a parametric curve $l_x \subset X$ of degree at most d passing through x,*
(2) *there exists a dense subset $U \subset X$, such that for every point $x \in U$ there is a parametric curve $l_x \subset X$ of degree at most d passing through x,*
(3) *for every polynomial map $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$, and every sequence $x_k \in X$ such that $f(x_k) \to a \in \mathbb{R}^m$ there exists a semialgebraic curve W and a generically finite polynomial map $\phi : \mathbb{R} \times W \ni (t, w) \to \phi(t, w) \in X$ such that $\deg_t \phi \leq d$, and there exists a sequence $y_k \in \mathbb{R} \times W$ such that $f(\phi(y_k)) \to a$. Moreover, if $x_k \to \infty$, then also $\phi(y_k) \to \infty$.*

PROOF. First we prove implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Suppose that $X = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f_1(x) = 0, \ldots, f_r(x) = 0\ g_1(x) \geq 0, ..., g_s(x) \geq 0\}$. For $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $b = (b_{1,1}, \ldots, b_{d,n}) \in \mathbb{R}^M$, where $M = dn$, let

$$\varphi_{a,b}(t) = (a_1 + b_{1,1}t + \cdots + b_{1,d}^d t^d, \ldots, a_n + b_{n,1}t + \cdots + b_{n,d}^d t^d)$$

be a parametric curve. If there exists a parametric curve of degree at most d passing through a, then after reparametrization we can assume that it is $\varphi_{a,b}$ for $\sum_{i,j} b_{i,j}^2 = 1$. This means that $b \in S_M(0, 1)$, where S_M denotes the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^M$. Consider a semialgebraic set

$$V = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times S_M(0, 1) : \forall_{t,i}\ f_i(\varphi_{a,b}(t)) = 0, \forall_{t,j}\ g_j(\varphi_{a,b}(t)) \geq 0\}.$$

The definition of the set V says that parametric curves $\varphi_{a,b}(t)$ are contained in X. It is easy to see that V is closed. For any $a \in X$, by the assumption there is a sequence of points $a_k \to a$, such that for every k there is a parametric curve $\varphi_{a_k,b_k} \in V$. We can assume that $\|a_k\| < \|a\| + 1$ for all k. The set V is closed, sequence $(a_k, b_k) \subset V$ is bounded, so there is a subsequence (a_{k_r}, b_{k_r}) which converges to $(a, b) \in V$. Now parametric curve $\varphi_{a,b} \subset X$ of degree at most d passes through a.

We prove (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Consider a semialgebraic set V as above. We have a surjective map

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R} \times V \ni (t, \varphi_{a,b}) \to \varphi_{a,b}(t) \in X.$$

Let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a polynomial map, and let $f(x_k) \to a \in \mathbb{R}^m$ for a sequence $x_k \in X$. Put $g = f \circ \Phi$. Hence there exists a sequence $z_k \in \mathbb{R} \times V$ such that $g(z_k) \to a$. Due to curve selection lemma there is a semialgebraic curve $W_1 \subset \mathbb{R} \times V$ such that $a \in \overline{g(W_1)}$. Take $W_2 = p_2(W_1)$, where $p_2 : \mathbb{R} \times V \to V$ is a projection. If W_2 is a curve then let $W := W_2$, if it is a point we take as W any semialgebraic curve in V which contains point $\pi(W_1)$. Now $(W, \Phi|_{\mathbb{R} \times W})$ is a good pair.

Finally to prove (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) it is enough to take as f the identity in the third condition. □

DEFINITION 4.2. *We say that a closed semialgebraic set X has* degree of $\mathbb{R}$-uniruledness at most *d if it satisfies the conditions of Proposition 4.1. A closed semialgebraic set is called* $\mathbb{R}$-uniruled *if it has some degree of $\mathbb{R}$-uniruledness.*

EXAMPLE 4.3. *Let $X = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}$. It is easy to check that the degree of $\mathbb{R}$-uniruledness of X is two. It has a ruling $\{(a, t^2), a \geq 0\}$.*

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed semialgebraic set, and let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a polynomial map. As in the complex case we say that it is not proper at a point $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ if there is no neighborhood U of y such that $f^{-1}(\overline{U})$ is compact. The set of all points $y \in \overline{f(X)}$ at which the map f is not proper we denote as before by S_f. This set is also closed and semialgebraic [**4**]. The results of [**4**] can be generalized as follows.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a generically finite polynomial map of degree d. Then the set S_f has degree of $\mathbb{R}$-uniruledness at most $d-1$.*

THEOREM 4.5. *Let $X = \mathbb{R} \times W \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed semialgebraic cylinder and let $f : R \times W \ni (t,w) \to (f_1(t,w), \dots, f_m(t,w)) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be a generically finite polynomial map. Assume that for every i $\deg_t f_i \leq d$. Then the set S_f has degree of $\mathbb{R}$-uniruledness at most d.*

COROLLARY 4.6. *Let $f = (f_1, ..., f_m) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a generically finite polynomial map with $d = \min_j \max_i \deg_{x_j} f_i$. Then the set S_f has degree of $\mathbb{R}$-uniruledness at most d.*

Proofs of these facts are exactly the same as in the complex case.

To prove a real analog of Theorem 3.5 we need some ideas from [**7**]. Let X be a smooth complex projective surface, and let $D = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i$ be a simple normal crossing (s.n.c.) divisor on X (we consider only reduced divisors). Let $\mathrm{graph}(D)$ be a graph of D, with vertices D_i, and one edge between D_i and D_j for each point of intersection of D_i and D_j.

DEFINITION 4.7. *We say that D a simple normal crossing divisor on a smooth surface X is a tree if* $\mathrm{graph}(D)$ *is a tree (it is connected and acyclic).*

The following fact is obvious from graph theory.

PROPOSITION 4.8. *Let X be a smooth projective surface and $D \subset X$ be a divisor which is a tree. If $D', D'' \subset D$ are connected divisors without common components, then D' and D'' have at most one common point.*

Now we are ready to prove a real counterpart of Theorem 3.5. In particular we show that for a generically finite map $f : X \to Y$ od real semialgebraic sets, the set S_f is also $\mathbb{R}$-uniruled, provided the set X is so.

THEOREM 4.9. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed semialgebraic set with degree of $\mathbb{R}$-uniruledness at most d_1, and let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a generically finite polynomial map of degree d_2. Then the set S_f is also $\mathbb{R}$-uniruled. Moreover, its degree of $\mathbb{R}$-uniruledness is at most $2d_1d_2$.*

PROOF. Let $a \in S_f$ and let $x_k \in X$ be a sequence of points such that $f(x_k) \to a$ and $x_k \to \infty$. By Proposition 4.1 there exists a semialgebraic curve W and a generically finite polynomial map $\phi : \mathbb{R} \times W \ni (t,w) \to \phi(t,w) \in X$ such that $\deg_t \phi \leq d_1$, and there exists a sequence $(y_k) \subset \mathbb{R} \times W$ such that $f(\phi(y_k)) \to a$ and $y_k \to \infty$. In particular $a \in S_{f \circ \phi}$. Let Γ be a Zariski closure of W. We can assume that Γ and its complexification Γ^c are smooth. Denote $Z := \mathbb{R} \times \Gamma$. We have the induced map $\phi : Z \to X$. Hence we have also the induced complex map $\phi^c : Z^c := \mathbb{C} \times \Gamma^c \to X^c$, where Z^c, X^c denote the complexification of Z and X respectively.

Let $\overline{\Gamma^c}$ be a smooth completion of Γ^c and let us denote $\overline{\Gamma^c} \setminus \Gamma = \{a_1, ..., a_l\}$. Let $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \overline{\Gamma^c}$ be a projective closure of Z^c. The divisor $D = \overline{Z^c} \setminus Z^c = \infty \times \overline{\Gamma^c} +$

$\sum_{i=1}^{l} \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{a_i\}$ is a tree. The map ϕ induces a rational map $\phi : \overline{Z^c} \dashrightarrow \overline{X^c}$, where $\overline{X^c}$ denotes the projective closure of X^c. We can resolve points of indeterminacy of this map:



Let us note that $H := \pi^{-1}(\overline{Z})$ has a structure of a real variety and $\mathbb{R} \times \Gamma \subset H$. Let $Q := \overline{Z_m} \cap \phi'^{-1}(X^c)$. Then the map $\phi' : Q \to X^c$ is proper. Moreover, $Q = \overline{Z_m} \setminus \phi'^{-1}(\overline{X^c} \setminus X^c)$. The divisor $D_1 = \phi'^{-1}(\overline{X^c} \setminus X^c)$ is connected as a complement of a semi-affine variety $\phi'^{-1}(X^c)$ (for details see [**2**], Lemma 4.5). Note that the divisor $D' = \pi^*(D)$ is a tree. Hence the divisor $D_1 \subset D'$ is also a tree.

The map $f' = f \circ \phi' : \mathbb{R} \times \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}^m$ we can consider as the regular map $f' : Q \to \mathbb{C}^m$. This map induces a rational map from $H^c = \overline{Z^c_n}$ to $\mathbb{P}^m(\mathbb{C})$. As before we can resolve its points of indeterminacy:



Note that the divisor $D'_1 = \psi^*(D_1)$ is a tree. Let $\infty' \times \overline{\Gamma}$ denote a proper transform of $\infty \times \overline{\Gamma}$. It is an easy observation that $F(\infty' \times \overline{\Gamma}) \subset \pi_\infty$, where π_∞ denotes the hyperplane at infinity of $\mathbb{P}^m(\mathbb{C})$. Now $S_{f'} = F(D_1 \setminus F^{-1}(\pi_\infty))$. The curve $L = F^{-1}(\pi_\infty)$ is connected (the same argument as above). Now by Proposition 4.8 we have that every irreducible curve $l \subset D'_1$ (note that necessarily $l \cong \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$) which does not belong to L has at most one common point with L. Let $R \subset S_{f'}$ be an irreducible component. Hence R is a curve. There is a curve $l \subset D_1$,

which has exactly one common point with L, such that $R = F(l \setminus L)$. If l is given by blowing up of a real point, then L also has a real common point with l (because the conjugate point also is a common point of l and L). When we restrict to the real model l^r of l we have $l^r \setminus L \cong \mathbb{R}$. Hence if we restrict our consideration only to the real points and to the set $Q^r := \overline{\mathbb{R} \times W} \subset Q$ (we consider here a closure in the euclidian topology) we see that the set S of non-proper points of the map $f'|_{Q^r}$ is a union of parametric curves $F(l^r \setminus L),\ l \in D_1, \psi(l) \in \overline{Q^r}$, where the last closure is the closure in a real projective space. Of course $a \in S \subset S_f$. Similarly the set $S_{f\circ\phi}$ is a union of parametric curves $F(l^r \setminus L),\ l \in \psi^*(D'), \pi(\psi(l)) \subset \overline{\mathbb{R} \times W} \subset \overline{Z}$. Hence we can say that an "irreducible component" of the set of non-proper points of $f'|_{Q^r}$ is also an 'irreducible' component of $S_{f\circ\phi}$. Moreover $a \in S_{f'|_{Q^r}} \subset S_f$. Now we can finish the proof by Theorem 4.5 and Lemma 4.10. □

LEMMA 4.10. *Let $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a parametric curve. If there exists a parametric curve $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ of degree at most d with $\psi(\mathbb{R}) \subset \phi(\mathbb{R})$, then $\psi(\mathbb{R})$ has degree of $\mathbb{R}$-uniruledness at most $2d$.*

PROOF. Indeed, let $\phi(t) = (\phi_1(t), ..., \phi_m(t))$ and consider a field $L = \mathbb{R}(\phi_1, ..., \phi_m)$. By the Lüroth Theorem there exists a rational function $g(t) \in \mathbb{R}(t)$ such that $L = \mathbb{R}(g(t))$. In particular $\phi_i(t) = f_i(g(t))$. In fact we have two induced maps $\overline{f} : \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{P}^m(\mathbb{R})$ and $\overline{g} : \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, $\overline{f} \circ \overline{g} = \overline{\phi}$. Let A_∞ denotes the unique point at infinity of a Zariski closure of $\phi(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\infty = \overline{f}^{-1}(A_\infty)$. This implies that $\#\overline{g}^{-1}(\infty) = 1$ and we can assume that $\overline{g}^{-1}(\infty) = \infty$, i.e., $g \in \mathbb{R}[t]$. Similarly $f_i \in \mathbb{R}[t]$. Now if $\deg g = 1$ then $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ covers whole $\phi(\mathbb{R})$, because the image of f is open and closed in $\phi(\mathbb{R})$. Otherwise we can compose f with suitable polynomial of degree two to obtain whole $\phi(\mathbb{R})$ in the image. In the same way we can compose f with suitable polynomial of degree one or two to obtain whole $\psi(\mathbb{R})$ in the image. In any case $\psi(\mathbb{R})$ has a parametrization of degree bounded by $2 \deg f \leq 2d$. □

COROLLARY 4.11. *Let X be a closed semialgebraic set which is $\mathbb{R}$-uniruled and let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a generically finite polynomial map. Then every connected component of the set S_f is unbounded.*

5. An application of the real field case

As an application we give a real counterpart of Theorem 3.1 from [**7**].

THEOREM 5.1. *Let G be a real, non-trivial, connected, unipotent group, which acts effectively and polynomially on a closed semialgebraic $\mathbb{R}$-uniruled set X. Then the set $Fix(G)$, of fixed points of G, is also $\mathbb{R}$-uniruled. In particular it does not contain isolated points.*

PROOF. First of all let us recall that a connected unipotent group has a normal series

$$0 = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \cdots \subset G_r = G,$$

where $G_i/G_{i-1} \cong G_a = (\mathbb{R}, +, 0)$. By the induction on $\dim G$ we can easily reduce the problem to $G = G_a$. Indeed, assume that theorem holds for $G = G_a$. Take a unipotent group G of $\dim G = n$ and assume that theorem holds in dimension $n-1$. There is a normal subgroup G_{n-1} of dimension $n-1$, such that $G/G_{n-1} = G_a$. Moreover, the set $R := Fix(G_{n-1})$ is $\mathbb{R}$-uniruled by our hypothesis. Consider the

induced action of the group $G_a = G/G_{n-1}$ on R. The set of fixed points of this action is $\mathbb{R}$-uniruled and it coincides with $Fix(G)$.

Hence assume that $G = G_a$. Let D be degree of $\mathbb{R}$-uniruledness of X. Take a point $a \in Fix(G)$. Let $\phi : G \times X \ni (g, x) \to \phi(g, x) \in X$ be a polynomial action of G on X. This action also induces a polynomial action of the complexification $G^c = (\mathbb{C}, +)$ of G on X^c. We will denote this action by $\overline{\phi}$. Assume that $\deg_g \phi \leq d$. By Definition 4.2 it is enough to prove, that there exists a parametric curve $S \subset Fix(G)$ passing through a of degree bounded by $\max(d, D)$. Let L be a parametric curve in X passing through a. If it is contained in $Fix(G)$, then the assertion is true. Otherwise consider a closed semialgebraic surface $Y = L \times G$. There is a natural G action on Y : for $h \in G$ and $y = (l, g) \in Y$ we put $h(y) = (l, hg) \in Y$. Take a map

$$\Phi : L \times G \ni (x, g) \to \phi(g, x) \in X.$$

It is a generically finite polynomial map. Observe that it is G-invariant, which means $\Phi(gy) = g\Phi(y)$. This implies that the set S_Φ of points at which the map Φ is not finite is G-invariant. Indeed, it is enough to show that the complement of this set is G-invariant. Let Φ be finite at $x \in X$. This means that there is an open neighborhood U of x such that the map $\Phi : \Phi^{-1}(U) \to U$ is finite. Now we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi^{-1}(U) & \xrightarrow{\ g\ } & \Phi^{-1}(gU) = g\Phi^{-1}(U) \\ \downarrow \Phi & & \downarrow \Phi \\ U & \xrightarrow{\ g\ } & gU \end{array}$$

This shows that the map Φ is finite over U, then it is finite over gU. In particular this implies that the set S_Φ is G-invariant. Let $S_\Phi = S_1 \cup S_2 ... \cup S_k$ be a decomposition of S_Φ into parametric curves (see the proof of Theorem 4.9). Since the set S_Φ is G-invariant, we have that each parametric curve S_i is also G-invariant. Note that the point a belongs to S_Φ, because the fiber over a has infinite number of points. We can assume that $a \in S_1$. Let us note that the point a is also a fixed point for G^c. Let $x \in S_1$, we want to show that $x \in Fix(G)$. Indeed, the set S_1^c is also G^c invariant and if $x \notin Fix(G)$ then $G^c.x = S_1^c$ and a would be in the orbit of x, which is a contradiction. Hence $S_1 \subset Fix(G)$ and we conclude by Theorem 4.5. □

COROLLARY 5.2. *Let G be a real, non-trivial, connected, unipotent group which acts effectively and polynomially on a closed semialgebraic set X. If the set $Fix(G)$, of fixed points of G, is nowhere dense in X, then it is $\mathbb{R}$-uniruled.*

COROLLARY 5.3. *Let G be a real, non-trivial connected unipotent group which acts effectively and polynomially on a connected Nash submanifold $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the set $Fix(G)$ is $\mathbb{R}$-uniruled.*

References

[1] Z. Jelonek, The set of points at which the polynomial mapping is not proper, Ann. Polon. Math. 58 (1993), 259-266.
[2] Z. Jelonek, Testing sets for properness of polynomial mappings, Math. Ann. 315 (1999), 1-35.
[3] Z. Jelonek, Topological characterization of finite mappings, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Math. 49 (2001), 279-283.
[4] Z. Jelonek, Geometry of real polynomial mappings, Math. Z. 239 (2002), 321-333.
[5] Z. Jelonek, K. Kurdyka, On asymptotic critical values of a complex polynomial, J. Reine Angew. Math. 565 (2003), 1-11.
[6] Z. Jelonek, On the effective Nullstellensatz, Invent. Math. 162 (2005), 1-17.
[7] Z. Jelonek, M. Lasoń, The set of fixed points of a unipotent group, J. Algebra 322 (2009), 2180-2185.
[8] Z. Jelonek, On the Russell problem, J. Algebra 324 (2010), no. 12, 3666-3676.
[9] M. Safey El Din, Testing Sign Conditions on a Multivariate Polynomial and Applications, Mathematics in Computer Science 1 (2007), 177-207.
[10] A. Stasica, Geometry of the Jelonek set, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 198 (2005), 317-327.
[11] H. Vui, P. Són, Representations of positive polynomials and optimization on noncompact semialgebraic sets, SIAM J. Optim. 20 (2010), 3082-3103.

(Z. Jelonek) Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences, ul.Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warszawa, Poland
E-mail address: najelone@cyf-kr.edu.pl

(M. Lasoń) Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences, ul.Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warszawa, Poland
E-mail address: michalason@gmail.com